

Molina Clinical Policy

3D Interpretation and Reporting of Imaging Studies:

Policy No. 124

Last Approval: 10/12/2023

Next Review Due By: October 2024



DISCLAIMER

This Molina Clinical Policy (MCP) is intended to facilitate the Utilization Management process. Policies are not a supplementation or recommendation for treatment; Providers are solely responsible for the diagnosis, treatment, and clinical recommendations for the Member. It expresses Molina's determination as to whether certain services or supplies are medically necessary, experimental, investigational, or cosmetic for purposes of determining appropriateness of payment. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that this service or supply is covered (e.g., will be paid for by Molina) for a particular Member. The Member's benefit plan determines coverage – each benefit plan defines which services are covered, which are excluded, and which are subject to dollar caps or other limits. Members and their Providers will need to consult the Member's benefit plan to determine if there are any exclusion(s) or other benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. If there is a discrepancy between this policy and a Member's plan of benefits, the benefits plan will govern. In addition, coverage may be mandated by applicable legal requirements of a State, the Federal government or CMS for Medicare and Medicaid Members. CMS's Coverage Database can be found on the CMS website. The coverage directive(s) and criteria from an existing National Coverage Determination (NCD) or Local Coverage Determination (LCD) will supersede the contents of this MCP and provide the directive for all Medicare members. References included were accurate at the time of policy approval and publication.

OVERVIEW

Three-dimensional images (3D reconstruction or 3D rendering) are a distinct diagnostic procedure that describes a separate procedure or process that can be applied to computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ultrasound or other tomographic modalities. The availability of 3D images enables the provider interpreting the images to have a view of the patient's entire anatomy. The original two-dimensional (2D) images provide comparison and confirmation. An image is reconstructed by using multiple thin-section (typically axial) images. The images can then be manipulated and rotated into various views to better understand the relationship of one structure to another. In addition, it provides a view of the structure along its length as opposed to just on a single trans-axial image. The shading, coloring, and perspective of a 3D volume rendering is useful in surgical planning as well as for identifying critical areas for avoidance or targeting. Applications of this technology include visualization of central nervous system vasculature, coronary artery imaging, enhanced imaging of the thorax to include embolic disease, inflammatory and neoplastic lesions, imaging of facial malformations, complex facial fractures/trauma, aortic aneurysms, and multiple others.

The physician supervises and/or creates the 3D reconstructions and adjust the projection to optimize visualization of anatomy or pathology for the 3D reconstruction performed on an independent workstation and the physician will discuss with the technologist the need for 3D imaging and supervise the technologist in creating 3D images for studies not requiring image post-processing on an independent workstation. The 3D rendering codes are intended to address complex renderings such as shaded surface rendering, volumetric rendering, maximum intensity projections, fusion of images from other modalities, and quantitative analysis (segmental volumes and surgical planning).

COVERAGE POLICY

Three-Dimensional (3-D) Rendering of Imaging Studies, also be referred to as 3-D reconstruction or 3-D reformation, **may be considered medically necessary** under the following circumstances:

1. Cerebral Aneurysms, known or suspected (CTA)¹⁻⁶
2. Congenital skull or facial abnormalities (CT)⁷⁻¹⁰
 - a. Craniosynostosis
 - b. Cleft palate
 - c. Craniofacial microsomia
 - d. Ear malformations
 - e. Branchial arch abnormalities
3. Complex facial fractures (CT)¹¹⁻¹³
4. Prior to volumetric, stereotactic cranial surgery (CT, MRI)¹⁴⁻¹⁶
5. Lead placement for Deep Brain stimulation (MRI, CT)¹⁷⁻¹⁹

Molina Clinical Policy

3D Interpretation and Reporting of Imaging Studies:

Policy No. 124

Last Approval: 10/12/2023

Next Review Due By: October 2024



6. MRCP (Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography) (MRI)²⁰⁻²¹
7. Eagle syndrome (elongation of styloid process) (CT)²²⁻²³
8. Prior to surgery for chest wall deformity (e.g., severe pectus)²⁴⁻²⁷
9. Vascular malformations (to characterize lesion and assess feeding arterial supply and draining veins) (CTA)²⁸⁻³⁰
10. Atlanto-axial or Craniocervical anomalies (CT)³¹⁻³³
11. Prior to surgical, radiation, high intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) or IR treatment of tumors of the spine, liver, pancreas, uterus, prostate or other viscera e.g. Yttrium 90 radioembolization; HIFU for prostate cancer, uterine fibroids (CT, MRI)³⁴⁻³⁸
12. Trauma, to assess for vascular and visceral organ injury and hemorrhage (CTA)³⁹⁻⁴¹
13. Complex spine fractures (CT)⁴²⁻⁴⁴
14. Complex extremity fractures (CT)⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷
15. Complex pelvic fractures (CT)⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰
16. Fetal Spinal Dysraphism/Skeletal Dysplasia
17. Scoliosis (CT, MRI)⁵¹⁻⁵³
18. Ultrasound 3D Indications:⁵⁴⁻⁶⁰
 - a. Abscess drainage in the pelvis and abdomen
 - b. Congenital anomalies of the uterus (e.g., septate vs bicornuate uterus)
 - c. Planned myomectomy-mapping or uterine artery embolization of uterine fibroids
 - d. Suspected cornual (Interstitial) ectopic pregnancy
 - e. Suspected Intrauterine device malposition
 - f. Suspected fetal anomalies
19. Cardiac MRI⁶¹⁻⁷⁰
20. Echocardiography⁷¹⁻⁷⁶
21. Preprocedural evaluation for aortic endovascular/endograft intervention⁷⁷⁻⁸⁰

Limitations and Exclusions

The following services are excluded and **NOT covered**:

1. 3D rendering (CPT codes 76376 and 76377) is considered an inherent component, and cannot be reported with **ANY** of the following procedures:
 - a. Bronchoscopy (CPT code 31627)
 - b. Computed tomographic angiography (CTA) of the head, neck, chest, pelvis, upper and lower extremity, abdomen, and abdominal aorta, and bilateral iliofemoral lower extremity vessels (CPT codes 70496-70498, 71275, 72191, 73206, 73706, 74174, 74175, 75635)
 - c. Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) of the head, neck, spinal canal, and upper and lower extremities (CPT codes 70544-70549, 71555, 72159, 72198, 73225, 73725, 74185)
 - d. Nuclear Radiology (CPT codes 78012-78999)
 - e. Computed tomographic (CT) colonography (virtual colonoscopy) (CPT codes 74261-74263)
 - f. Computed tomography (CT) heart and (CTA) heart, coronary arteries, and bypass grafts (CPT codes 70496, 70498, 71275, 72191, 73206, 73706, 74174-74175, 74261-74263, 75571-75574, 75635)
 - g. Computer-aided detection (CPT codes 77048-77049)
 - h. Digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT) (CPT codes 77061-77063)
2. Mapping of hippocampal atrophy in Alzheimer's disease is considered **experimental, investigational, or unproven** due to insufficient evidence in the peer reviewed medical literature.

Molina Clinical Policy

3D Interpretation and Reporting of Imaging Studies:

Policy No. 124

Last Approval: 10/12/2023

Next Review Due By: October 2024



DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS. Molina Healthcare reserves the right to require that additional documentation be made available as part of its coverage determination; quality improvement; and fraud; waste and abuse prevention processes. Documentation required may include, but is not limited to, patient records, test results and credentials of the provider ordering or performing a drug or service. Molina Healthcare may deny reimbursement or take additional appropriate action if the documentation provided does not support the initial determination that the drugs or services were medically necessary, not investigational, or experimental, and otherwise within the scope of benefits afforded to the member, and/or the documentation demonstrates a pattern of billing or other practice that is inappropriate or excessive.

SUMMARY OF MEDICAL EVIDENCE

For peer-reviewed studies used in the development and update of this policy, please see the *Reference* section.

National and Specialty Organizations

In 2022, the **American College of Radiology (ACR)** in collaboration with the **Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM)**, **Society for Imaging Informatics in Medicine (SIIM)**, and **Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR)** published the *ACR–AAPM–SIIM–SPR Practice Parameter for Digital Radiography*. The document offers guidance on the clinical use of digital radiography (DR) equipment (excluding mammography) to provide necessary image quality at a suitable radiation dose as well as provide excellent safety and care for patients undergoing digital radiography examinations.⁸¹

The ACR also published the *ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)*. Guidance is provided on indications and contraindications for MRI, provider qualifications to perform MRI, specifications of the examination, proper documentation, equipment specifications, and safety guidelines. A section regarding quality control and improvement is also included with information on safety, infection control, and patient education.⁸²

Currently no *ACR Appropriateness Criteria* is available specific to 3D interpretation and reporting of imaging studies.

The ACR published a bulletin titled *Seeing in 3D* which discusses the joint ACR and **Radiological Society of North America (RSNA)** 3DP Registry. The registry was developed to track clinical 3DP performed at the point of care. By collecting anonymized 3DP case information, patient care is improved and allows a view of how resources are utilized including the tracking of clinical outcomes, facilitate quality improvement, and examine 3DP reimbursement. This allows users to compare their workflow processes with others who have joined the 3DP Registry. The registry will aid providers with quality improvement and support reimbursement. Currently four Category III reimbursement codes exist for 3DP. By collecting data and literature, the goal is to support the creation of Category I codes related to reimbursement from third-party payers (including CMS).⁸³⁻⁸⁵

In February 2022, the ACR submitted comments to the **United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA)** with respect to the discussion paper *3D Printing Medical Devices at the Point of Care*. An announcement is pending from the FDA. The ACR recommendations include:⁸⁶⁻⁸⁸

- Designation of anatomic models created by end-user health care facilities (HCFs) as very low risk.
- Exercise enforcement discretion with respect to very low-risk devices created by end-user HCFs.
- Discontinue use of the term “point of care” for describing 3D printing within HCFs.

CODING & BILLING INFORMATION

CPT (Current Procedural Terminology) Codes

CPT	Description
76376	3D rendering with interpretation and reporting of computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasound, or other tomographic modality with image postprocessing under concurrent supervision; not requiring image postprocessing on an independent workstation
76377	3D rendering with interpretation and reporting of computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasound, or other tomographic modality with image postprocessing under concurrent supervision; requiring image postprocessing on an independent workstation

Molina Clinical Policy

3D Interpretation and Reporting of Imaging Studies:

Policy No. 124

Last Approval: 10/12/2023

Next Review Due By: October 2024



CODING DISCLAIMER. Codes listed in this policy are for reference purposes only and may not be all-inclusive. Deleted codes and codes which are not effective at the time the service is rendered may not be eligible for reimbursement. Listing of a service or device code in this policy does not guarantee coverage. Coverage is determined by the benefit document. Molina adheres to Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®), a registered trademark of the American Medical Association (AMA). All CPT codes and descriptions are copyrighted by the AMA; this information is included for informational purposes only. Providers and facilities are expected to utilize industry standard coding practices for all submissions. When improper billing and coding is not followed, Molina has the right to reject/deny the claim and recover claim payment(s). Due to changing industry practices, Molina reserves the right to revise this policy as needed.

APPROVAL HISTORY

10/12/2023	Policy reviewed. Coverage criteria revised to include cerebral aneurysms, lead placement for Deep Brain stimulation, MRCP, Eagle syndrome, prior to surgery for chest wall deformity, trauma, to assess for vascular and visceral organ injury and hemorrhage, ultrasound 3D indications, and aortic endovascular/endograft intervention. Indications removed spinal canal or osseous spinal tumor radiotherapy planning and High Intensity Focused Ultrasound ablation of tumors of prostate, liver, pancreas, and uterine fibroids. Removed chest/abdomen/pelvis MRA, planning aortic endograft, and cardiac MRI from exclusions. IRO peer review by a practicing physician board certified in Radiology, October 2023.
02/08/2023	Policy reviewed, included additional indications in the Coverage Policy section – brain tumors, congenital cardiac/cardiovascular anomalies; complex fractures (especially those extending intra-articularly) ; endovascular intervention for aneurysms; hepatic tumors for targeted radiotherapy or radioembolization; High Intensity Focused Ultrasound ablation of tumors of prostate, liver, pancreas and uterine fibroids; maxillofacial tumors or congenital anomalies; spinal canal or osseous spinal tumor radiotherapy planning; temporal bone procedures involving semicircular canals or cochlear; tumors for planned radiofrequency, microwave, or other thermal ablation; and vascular stents and grafts.
12/14/2022	Policy reviewed, no changes to coverage criteria; updated overview and references; added Summary of Medical Evidence.
12/08/2021	Policy reviewed, no changes to coverage criteria, updated references.
12/09/2020	Policy reviewed, no changes to coverage criteria, updated references.
12/10/2019	Policy reviewed, no changes to coverage criteria, updated references.
12/13/2018	Policy reviewed, no changes to coverage criteria, updated references.
11/06/2018	Policy reviewed, updated references. Changes to coverage criteria,
09/19/2017	Policy reviewed, no changes to coverage criteria, updated references.
08/09/2016	Policy reviewed, updated references. Changes to coverage criteria.
12/16/2015	Policy reviewed, no changes to coverage criteria, updated references.
02/12/2015	Policy reviewed, updated references. Changes to coverage criteria,
12/12/2012	New policy.

REFERENCES

1. Munarriz PM, Bárcena E, Alén JF, et al. Reliability and accuracy assessment of morphometric measurements obtained with software for three-dimensional reconstruction of brain aneurysms relative to cerebral angiography measures. *Interv Neuroradiol.* 2021 Apr;27(2):191-199. doi: 10.1177/1591019920961588. Epub 2020 Sep 30. PMID: 32996346; PMCID: PMC8050538.
2. Niibo T, Takizawa K, Sakurai J, et al. Prediction of the difficulty of proximal vascular control using 3D-CTA for the surgical clipping of internal carotid artery-posterior communicating artery aneurysms. *J Neurosurg.* 2020 Apr 10;134(3):1165-1172. doi: 10.3171/2020.1.JNS192728. PMID: 32276244.
3. Cancelliere NM, Najafi M, Brina O, et al. 4D-CT angiography versus 3D-rotational angiography as the imaging modality for computational fluid dynamics of cerebral aneurysms. *J Neurointerv Surg.* 2020 Jun;12(6):626-630. doi: 10.1136/neurintsurg-2019-015389. PMID: 31772042.
4. Wang L, Ye X, Hao Q, et al. Three-dimensional intracranial middle cerebral artery aneurysm models for aneurysm surgery and training. *J Clin Neurosci.* 2018 Apr;50:77-82. doi: 10.1016/j.jocn.2018.01.074. PMID: 29439905.
5. Cheng Q, Huang CB, Wang JY, et al. Application of 3-Dimensional Computerized Tomography Angiography for Defining Cavernous Sinus Aneurysms and Intradural Aneurysms Involving the Internal Carotid Artery Around the Anterior Clinoid Process. *World Neurosurg.* 2017 Oct;106:785-789. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2017.06.172. PMID: 28716673.
6. Chung CY, Peterson RB, Howard BM, Zygmunt ME. Imaging Intracranial Aneurysms in the Endovascular Era: Surveillance and Posttreatment Follow-up. *Radiographics.* 2022 May-Jun;42(3):789-805. doi: 10.1148/rg.210131. PMID: 35333634.
7. Weiss NM, Langner S, Mlynski R, Roland P, Dhanasingh A. Evaluating Common Cavity Cochlear Deformities Using CT Images and 3D Reconstruction. *Laryngoscope.* 2021 Feb;131(2):386-391. doi: 10.1002/lary.28640. PMID: 32246777.
8. Sulong S, Alias A, Johanabas F, Yap Abdullah J, Idris B. Intracranial Volume Post Cranial Expansion Surgery Using Three-Dimensional Computed Tomography Scan Imaging in Children With Craniosynostosis. *J Craniofac Surg.* 2020 Jan/Feb;31(1):46-50. doi: 10.1097/SCS.00000000000005810. PMID: 31403510.
9. Fu Y, Li C, Dai P, Zhang T. Three-dimensional assessment of the temporal bone and mandible deformations in patients with congenital aural atresia. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* 2017 Oct;101:164-166. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2017.08.004. PMID: 28964289.
10. Pekçevik Y, Hasbay E, Pekçevik R. Three-dimensional CT imaging in pediatric calvarial pathologies. *Diagn Interv Radiol.* 2013 Nov-Dec;19(6):488-94. doi: 10.5152/dir.2013.13140. PMID: 23921267.
11. de Carvalho MF, Vieira JNM, Figueiredo R, Reher P, Chrcanovic BR, Chaves MGAM. Validity of computed tomography in diagnosing midfacial fractures. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2021 Apr;50(4):471-476. doi: 10.1016/j.ijom.2020.09.002. PMID: 32980217.
12. Choi JW, Kim MJ. Treatment of Panfacial Fractures and Three-Dimensional Outcome Analysis: The Occlusion First Approach. *J Craniofac Surg.* 2019 Jun;30(4):1255-1258. doi: 10.1097/SCS.00000000000005528. PMID: 30946230.
13. Dreizin D, Nam AJ, Hirsch J, Bernstein MP. New and emerging patient-centered CT imaging and image-guided treatment paradigms for

Molina Clinical Policy

3D Interpretation and Reporting of Imaging Studies:

Policy No. 124



Last Approval: 10/12/2023

Next Review Due By: October 2024

- maxillofacial trauma. *Emerg Radiol.* 2018 Oct;25(5):533-545. doi: 10.1007/s10140-018-1616-9. PMID: 29922866.
14. Spatz JM, Conner AK, Young JS, Starr PA. Intraoperative Stereotactic Frame Registration Using a Three-Dimensional Imaging System with and without Preoperative Computed Tomography for Image Fusion. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg.* 2020;98(5):313-318. doi: 10.1159/000509312. PMID: 32818947.
 15. Mert A, Buehler K, Sutherland GR, et al. Brain tumor surgery with 3-dimensional surface navigation. *Neurosurgery.* 2012 Dec;71(2 Suppl Operative): ons286-94; discussion ons294-5. doi: 10.1227/NEU.0b013e31826a8a75. PMID: 22843134.
 16. Pipolo DO, Luzzi S, Baldoncini M, et al. Virtual preoperative planning and 3D tumoral reconstruction with Horos open-source software. *Surg Neurol Int.* 2023 Jan 27;14:32. doi: 10.25259/SNI_1075_2022. PMID: 36895211; PMCID: PMC9990789.
 17. Frey J, Cagle J, Johnson KA, et al. Past, Present, and Future of Deep Brain Stimulation: Hardware, Software, Imaging, Physiology and Novel Approaches. *Front Neurol.* 2022 Mar 9;13:825178. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2022.825178. PMID: 35356461; PMCID: PMC8959612.
 18. Schulder M, Mishra A, Mammis A, et al. Advances in Technical Aspects of Deep Brain Stimulation Surgery. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg.* 2023;101(2):112-134. doi: 10.1159/000529040. Epub 2023 Feb 21. PMID: 36809747; PMCID: PMC10184879.
 19. Chansakul T, Chen PN Jr, Lee TC, Tierney T. Interventional MR Imaging for Deep-Brain Stimulation Electrode Placement. *Radiology.* 2016 Dec;281(3):940-946. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2015151136. Epub 2016 Jun 20. PMID: 27326663; PMCID: PMC5131831.
 20. Trout AT, Squires JH, Rees MA, et al. Consensus Minimum MRI Protocol for the Child With Acute Recurrent or Chronic Pancreatitis. *American Journal of Roentgenology AJR* 2023 Aug 30 [published online]. Accepted manuscript. doi:10.2214/AJR.23.29940
 21. Furlan A, Bayram E, Thangasamy S, Barley D, Dasyam A. Application of compressed sensing to 3D magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography for the evaluation of pancreatic cystic lesions. *Magn Reson Imaging.* 2018 Oct;52:131-136. doi: 10.1016/j.mri.2018.05.015. PMID: 29859947.
 22. Kosar MI, Atalar MH, Sabancıoğlu V, et al. Evaluation of the length and angulation of the styloid process in the patient with pre-diagnosis of Eagle syndrome. *Folia Morphol (Warsz).* 2011 Nov;70(4):295-9. PMID: 22117249.
 23. Rohée-Traoré A, Boucher S. Ossification of Stylohyoid Complex in Eagle Syndrome. *Radiology.* 2023 Jan;306(1):73. doi: 10.1148/radiol.220367. PMID: 36040331.
 24. Wong KE, Gorton GE 3rd, Tashjian DB, Tirabassi MV, Moriarty KP. Evaluation of the treatment of pectus carinatum with compressive orthotic bracing using three dimensional body scans. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2014 Jun;49(6):924-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2014.01.024. PMID: 24888836.
 25. Fayad LM, Johnson P, Fishman EK. Multidetector CT of musculoskeletal disease in the pediatric patient: principles, techniques, and clinical applications. *Radiographics.* 2005 May-Jun;25(3):603-18. doi: 10.1148/rg.253045092. PMID: 15888612.
 26. Fuentes S, Pradillos-Serna JM, Berlioz M, et al. Validating 3D indexes in the non-surgical pectus excavatum patient. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2021 Feb;56(2):230-234. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2020.06.006. Epub 2020 Jun 11. PMID: 32650999.
 27. Janssen N, Daemen JHT, Michels IL, et al. Preoperative imaging of clinically relevant intrathoracic abnormalities in pectus excavatum patients. *Quant Imaging Med Surg.* 2023 Jun 1;13(6):3489-3495. doi: 10.21037/qims-22-1366. PMID: 37284105; PMCID: PMC10240027.
 28. van Rooij SBT, Bechan RS, van Rooij WJ, Sprengers ME. Current Hospital Demographics of Subarachnoid Hemorrhage Based on CT Angiography and 3D Rotational Angiography in a Neurosurgical Center. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2019 Jun;40(6):1013-1017. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A6060. PMID: 31072975; PMCID: PMC7028602.
 29. Tran Vinh E, Heit JJ, Haccin-Bey L, Provenzale J, Wintermark M. Contemporary Imaging of Cerebral Arteriovenous Malformations. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2017 Jun;208(6):1320-1330. doi: 10.2214/AJR.16.17306. PMID: 28267351.
 30. Romberg EK, Tang ER, Chandra T, Podberesky DJ, Epelman M, Iyer RS. Applications of Pediatric Body CT Angiography: What Radiologists Need to Know. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2020 May;214(5):1019-1030. doi: 10.2214/AJR.19.22274. PMID: 32130044.
 31. Kirnaz S, Gebhard H, Wong T, et al. Intraoperative image guidance for cervical spine surgery. *Ann Transl Med.* 2021 Jan;9(1):93. doi: 10.21037/atm-20-1101. PMID: 33553386; PMCID: PMC7859826.
 32. Lustrin ES, Karakas SP, Ortiz AO, et al. Pediatric cervical spine: normal anatomy, variants, and trauma. *Radiographics.* 2003 May-Jun;23(3):539-60. doi: 10.1148/rg.233025121. PMID: 12740460.
 33. Zhou LP, Zhang RJ, Jiang ZF, Tao EX, Shang J, Shen CL. Ideal entry point and trajectory for C2 pedicle screw placement in basilar invagination patients with high-riding vertebral artery based on 3D computed tomography. *Spine J.* 2022 Aug;22(8):1281-1291. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2022.04.015. PMID: 35508287.
 34. Pereira HR, Barzegar M, Hamadelseed O, Esteve AV, Munuera J. 3D surgical planning of pediatric tumors: a review. *Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg.* 2022 Apr;17(4):805-816. doi: 10.1007/s11548-022-02557-8. PMID: 35043366.
 35. Hou JX, Deng Z, Liu YY, et al. A Bibliometric Analysis of the Role of 3D Technology in Liver Cancer Resection. *World J Surg.* 2023 Jun;47(6):1548-1561. doi: 10.1007/s00268-023-06950-5. PMID: 36882637.
 36. Molvar C, Lewandowski R. Yttrium-90 Radioembolization of Hepatocellular Carcinoma-Performance, Technical Advances, and Future Concepts. *Semin Intervent Radiol.* 2015 Dec;32(4):388-97. doi: 10.1055/s-0035-1564704. PMID: 26622103; PMCID: PMC4640917.
 37. Tacher V, Lin M, Duran R, et al. Comparison of Existing Response Criteria in Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma Treated with Transarterial Chemoembolization Using a 3D Quantitative Approach. *Radiology.* 2016 Jan;278(1):275-84. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2015142951. PMID: 26131913; PMCID: PMC4695977.
 38. Rexha I, Laage-Gaupf F, Chapiro J, et al. Role of 3D quantitative tumor analysis for predicting overall survival after conventional chemoembolization of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Sci Rep.* 2021 Apr 29;11(1):9337. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-88426-x. PMID: 33927226; PMCID: PMC8085245.
 39. Dreizin D, Smith EB, Champ K, Morrison JJ. Roles of Trauma CT and CTA in Salvaging the Threatened or Mangled Extremity. *Radiographics.* 2022 Mar-Apr;42(2):E50-E67. doi: 10.1148/rg.210092. PMID: 35230918; PMCID: PMC8906352.
 40. Fritz J, Efron DT, Fishman EK. Multidetector CT and three-dimensional CT angiography of upper extremity arterial injury. *Emerg Radiol.* 2015 Jun;22(3):269-82. doi: 10.1007/s10140-014-1288-z. PMID: 25504031.
 41. Studer AS, Kahn CJ, Bege T, et al. An anatomic and morphometric analysis of splenic variability using 3D reconstruction and spatial orientation from computed tomography. *Ann Anat.* 2015 Sep;201:50-5. doi: 10.1016/j.aanat.2015.06.006. PMID: 26204553.
 42. Small JE, Osler P, Paul AB, Kunst M. CT Cervical Spine Fracture Detection Using a Convolutional Neural Network. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2021 Jul;42(7):1341-1347. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A7094. PMID: 34255730; PMCID: PMC8324280.
 43. Dreizin D, Smith EB. CT of Sacral Fractures: Classification Systems and Management. *Radiographics.* 2022 Nov-Dec;42(7):1975-1993. doi: 10.1148/rg.220075. PMID: 36112523; PMCID: PMC10333055.
 44. Kanna RM, Rajasekaran S, Schroeder GD, et al. Lumbo-sacral Junction Instability by Traumatic Sacral Fractures: Isler's Classification Revisited - A Narrative Review. *Global Spine J.* 2022 Oct;12(8):1925-1933. doi: 10.1177/21925682221076414. PMID: 35192399; PMCID:

Molina Clinical Policy

3D Interpretation and Reporting of Imaging Studies:

Policy No. 124

Last Approval: 10/12/2023

Next Review Due By: October 2024



- PMC9609506.
45. Sandstrom CK, Kennedy SA, Gross JA. Acute shoulder trauma: what the surgeon wants to know. *Radiographics*. 2015 Mar-Apr;35(2):475-92. doi: 10.1148/rg.352140113. PMID: 25763730.
 46. Moolenaar JZ, Tümer N, Checa S. Computer-assisted preoperative planning of bone fracture fixation surgery: A state-of-the-art review. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol*. 2022 Oct 14;10:1037048. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2022.1037048. PMID: 36312550; PMCID: PMC9613932.
 47. Tarallo L, Micheloni GM, Mazzi M, Rebeccato A, Novi M, Catani F. Advantages of preoperative planning using computed tomography scan for treatment of malleolar ankle fractures. *World J Orthop*. 2021 Mar 18;12(3):129-139. doi: 10.5312/wjo.v12.i3.129. PMID: 33816140; PMCID: PMC7995337.
 48. Scheinfeld MH, Dym AA, Spektor M, Avery LL, Dym RJ, Amanatullah DF. Acetabular fractures: what radiologists should know and how 3D CT can aid classification. *Radiographics*. 2015 Mar-Apr;35(2):555-77. doi: 10.1148/rg.352140098. PMID: 25763739.
 49. Lee MJ, Wright A, Cline M, Mazza MB, Alves T, Chong S. Pelvic Fractures and Associated Genitourinary and Vascular Injuries: A Multisystem Review of Pelvic Trauma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2019 Dec;213(6):1297-1306. doi: 10.2214/AJR.18.21050. PMID: 31613662.
 50. Leemhuis JF, Assink N, Reininga IHF, et al. The Pelvic Fracture Consortium. Both-Column Acetabular Fractures: Does Surgical Approach Vary Based on Using Virtual 3D Reconstructions? *Diagnostics (Basel)*. 2023 May 5;13(9):1629. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics13091629. PMID: 37175020; PMCID: PMC10178242.
 51. Zaghoul KM, Tawfik AM, Gadelhak B, Sobh HM, Batouty NM, Sobh DM. Understanding the Aorta-Spine Relation in Idiopathic Scoliosis: Value of Noncontrast CT-Derived Curved Coronal Reformats and 3D Volume Images. *Int J Spine Surg*. 2021 Aug;15(4):818-825. doi: 10.14444/8105. PMID: 34266933; PMCID: PMC8375675.
 52. Rajiah P. Idiopathic Scoliosis Imaging: Practice Essentials. *Medscape*. Updated 9/15/2023 <https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/413157>
 53. Kim H, Kim HS, Moon ES, et al. Scoliosis imaging: what radiologists should know. *Radiographics*. 2010 Nov;30(7):1823-42. doi: 10.1148/rg.307105061. Erratum in: *Radiographics*. 2015 Jul-Aug;35(4):1316. PMID: 21057122.
 54. Cater SW, Boyd BK, Ghate SV. Abnormalities of the Fetal Central Nervous System: Prenatal US Diagnosis with Postnatal Correlation. *Radiographics*. 2020 Sep-Oct;40(5):1458-1472. doi: 10.1148/rg.2020200034. Epub 2020 Jul 24. PMID: 32706613.
 55. Rigoivich M, Kacharia VS, Bharwani N, Hemingway A, Mijatovic V, Rodgers SK. Evaluating Fallopian Tube Patency: What the Radiologist Needs to Know. *Radiographics*. 2021 Oct;41(6):1876-1896. doi: 10.1148/rg.2021210033. PMID: 34597232.
 56. Zonoobi D, Hareendranathan A, Mostofi E, et al. Developmental Hip Dysplasia Diagnosis at Three-dimensional US: A Multicenter Study. *Radiology*. 2018 Jun;287(3):1003-1015. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2018172592. PMID: 29688160.
 57. Behr SC, Courtier JL, Qayyum A. Imaging of müllerian duct anomalies. *Radiographics*. 2012 Oct;32(6):E233-50. doi: 10.1148/rg.326125515. PMID: 23065173.
 58. Ludwin A, Martins WP, Nastri CO, et al. Congenital Uterine Malformation by Experts (CUME): better criteria for distinguishing between normal/arcuate and septate uterus? *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2018 Jan;51(1):101-109. doi: 10.1002/uog.18923. Erratum in: *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2018 Feb;51(2):282. PMID: 29024135.
 59. de la Portilla F, Durán V, Maestre MV, et al. Effectiveness of a three-dimensional anorectal ultrasound in perianal Crohn's disease: incompatibility with clinical and surgical examinations. *Int J Colorectal Dis*. 2015 Apr;30(4):529-34. doi: 10.1007/s00384-014-2102-9. PMID: 25526856.
 60. Benacerraf BR. Three-Dimensional Volume Imaging in Gynecology. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am*. 2019 Dec;46(4):755-781. doi: 10.1016/j.ogc.2019.07.008. PMID: 31677753.
 61. Rajiah PS, François CJ, Leiner T. Cardiac MRI: State of the Art. *Radiology*. 2023 May;307(3):e223008. doi: 10.1148/radiol.223008. PMID: 37039684.
 62. Takehara Y. 4D Flow when and how? *Radiol Med*. 2020 Sep;125(9):838-850. doi: 10.1007/s11547-020-01249-0. PMID: 32671554.
 63. Kamphuis VP, Westenberg JJM, van der Palen RLF, et al. Unravelling cardiovascular disease using four dimensional flow cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2017 Jul;33(7):1069-1081. doi: 10.1007/s10554-016-1031-9. PMID: 27888419; PMCID: PMC5489572.
 64. Zhong L, Schrauben EM, Garcia J, et al. Intracardiac 4D Flow MRI in Congenital Heart Disease: Recommendations on Behalf of the ISMRM Flow & Motion Study Group. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2019 Sep;50(3):677-681. doi: 10.1002/jmri.26858. PMID: 31317587.
 65. Takahashi K, Sekine T, Ando T, Ishii Y, Kumita S. Utility of 4D Flow MRI in Thoracic Aortic Diseases: A Literature Review of Clinical Applications and Current Evidence. *Magn Reson Med Sci*. 2022 Mar 1;21(2):327-339. doi: 10.2463/mrms.rev.2021-0046. PMID: 34497166; PMCID: PMC9680552.
 66. Oyama-Manabe N, Aikawa T, Tsuneta S, Manabe O. Clinical Applications of 4D Flow MR Imaging in Aortic Valvular and Congenital Heart Disease. *Magn Reson Med Sci*. 2022 Mar 1;21(2):319-326. doi: 10.2463/mrms.rev.2021-0030. PMID: 34176866; PMCID: PMC9680549.
 67. Rizk J. 4D flow MRI applications in congenital heart disease. *Eur Radiol*. 2021 Feb;31(2):1160-1174. doi: 10.1007/s00330-020-07210-z. PMID: 32870392.
 68. Azarine A, Garçon P, Stansal A, et al. Four-dimensional Flow MRI: Principles and Cardiovascular Applications. *Radiographics*. 2019 May-Jun;39(3):632-648. doi: 10.1148/rg.2019180091. PMID: 30901284.
 69. Jacobs K, Hahn L, Horowitz M, Kligerman S, Vasanawala S, Hsiao A. Hemodynamic Assessment of Structural Heart Disease Using 4D Flow MRI: How We Do It. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2021 Dec;217(6):1322-1332. doi: 10.2214/AJR.21.25978. PMID: 34076463.
 70. Geiger J, Callaghan FM, Burkhardt BEU, Valsangiaco Buechel ER, Kellenberger CJ. Additional value and new insights by four-dimensional flow magnetic resonance imaging in congenital heart disease: application in neonates and young children. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2021 Jul;51(8):1503-1517. doi: 10.1007/s00247-020-04885-w. PMID: 33313980; PMCID: PMC8266722.
 71. Sugimoto T, Dulgheru R, Marchetta S, et al. What Does 3D Echocardiography Add to 2D Echocardiography in the Assessment of Mitral Regurgitation? *Curr Cardiol Rep*. 2017 Aug 24;19(10):90. doi: 10.1007/s11886-017-0901-7. PMID: 28840567.
 72. Taskesen T, Goldberg SL, Gill EA. Role of three-dimensional echocardiography in management of acquired intracardiac shunts. *Echocardiography*. 2014 Sep;31(8):E250-3. doi: 10.1111/echo.12682. PMID: 25039665.
 73. Charakida M, Pushparajah K, Simpson J. 3D echocardiography in congenital heart disease: a valuable tool for the surgeon. *Future Cardiol*. 2014 Jul;10(4):497-509. doi: 10.2217/fca.14.38. PMID: 25301313.
 74. Escabia C, Bayes-Genis A, Delgado V. Three-Dimensional Echocardiography for Tricuspid Valve Assessment. *Curr Cardiol Rep*. 2022 Nov;24(11):1611-1618. doi: 10.1007/s11886-022-01780-8. PMID: 36048305.
 75. Addetia K, Mazzanti A, Maragna R, et al. Value of 3D echocardiography in the diagnosis of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2023 Apr 24;24(5):664-677. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jeac172. PMID: 36056824.

Molina Clinical Policy

3D Interpretation and Reporting of Imaging Studies:

Policy No. 124

Last Approval: 10/12/2023

Next Review Due By: October 2024



76. Taskesen T, Gill EA. Pulmonary valve assessment by three-dimensional echocardiography. *Echocardiography*. 2022 Jul;39(7):1001-1009. doi: 10.1111/echo.15001. Epub 2021 Feb 18. PMID: 33604936.
77. Picel AC, Kansal N. Essentials of endovascular abdominal aortic aneurysm repair imaging: preprocedural assessment. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2014 Oct;203(4):W347-57. doi: 10.2214/AJR.13.11735. PMID: 25247964.
78. Sobocinski J, Spear R, Tyrrell MR, et al. Chronic dissection - indications for treatment with branched and fenestrated stent-grafts. *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)*. 2014 Aug;55(4):505-17. Epub 2014 Jun 30. PMID: 24975737.
79. Bean MJ, Johnson PT, Roseborough GS, et al. Thoracic aortic stent-grafts: utility of multidetector CT for pre- and postprocedure evaluation. *Radiographics*. 2008 Nov-Dec;28(7):1835-51. doi: 10.1148/rg.287085055. PMID: 19001643.
80. Hansen NJ, Kaza RK, Maturen KE, et al. Evaluation of low-dose CT angiography with model-based iterative reconstruction after endovascular aneurysm repair of a thoracic or abdominal aortic aneurysm. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2014 Mar;202(3):648-55. doi: 10.2214/AJR.13.11286. PMID: 24555604.
81. American College of Radiology (ACR), Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM), Society for Imaging Informatics in Medicine (SIIM), Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR). ACR–AAPM–SIIM–SPR practice parameter for digital radiography. ACR.org. Updated 2022.
82. American College of Radiology (ACR). ACR practice parameter for performing and interpreting magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). ACR.org. Updated 2022.
83. American College of Radiology (ACR). ACR bulletin: Seeing in 3D. ACR.org. Published October 28, 2021.
84. Radiological Society of North America (RSNA). RSNA-ACR 3D printing registry. RSNA.org.
85. American College of Radiology (ACR). ACR radiology coding source™. ACR.org. Updated 2022.
86. American College of Radiology (ACR). ACR provides recommendations to FDA regarding 3D printing within healthcare facilities. ACR.org. Published February 09, 2022.
87. United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Article: 3D printing medical devices at the point of care – discussion paper. Published December 10, 2021. <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/3d-printing-medical-devices/3d-printing-medical-devices-point-care-discussion-paper>.
88. United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Discussion paper: 3D printing medical devices at the point of care. Published December 10, 2021. <https://www.fda.gov/media/154729/download>.
89. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Medicare coverage database (search: 3D interpretation and reporting of imaging studies). Cms.gov.
90. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Medicare coverage database. Local coverage determination – 3D interpretation and reporting of imaging studies (L33256). CMS.gov. Effective Date October 1, 2015.
91. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Medicare coverage database. Local coverage determination – 3D interpretation and reporting of imaging studies (L35408). CMS.gov. Effective Date October 1, 2015.
92. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Medicare national correct coding initiative (NCCI) edits. CMS.gov. Updated September 8, 2022.